

Travis Community Day

School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2017—18 School Year California Department of Education

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fq/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Mrs. Allyson Rude Azevedo, Principal

Principal, Travis Community Day

About Our School

Contact

Travis Community Day
2785 De Ronde Dr.
Fairfield, CA 94533

Phone: 707-437-8265
E-mail: arude@travisusd.org

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2018—19)

District Contact Information (School Year 2018—19)	
District Name	Travis Unified
Phone Number	(707) 437-4604
Superintendent	Pam Conklin
E-mail Address	pconklin@travisusd.org
Web Site	www.travisusd.org

School Contact Information (School Year 2018—19)	
School Name	Travis Community Day
Street	2785 De Ronde Dr.
City, State, Zip	Fairfield, Ca, 94533
Phone Number	707-437-8265
Principal	Mrs. Allyson Rude Azevedo, Principal
E-mail Address	arude@travisusd.org
Web Site	www.travisusd.org/domain/15
County-District-School (CDS) Code	48705654830154

Last updated: 1/7/2019

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018—19)

Mission Statement

We are dedicated to the academic, personal, and social growth of all students while recognizing their unique abilities and needs. Our mission is to:

- Create and facilitate educational opportunities for students to be successful in an alternative setting.
- Address the diverse academic, personal, and social needs of students.
- Inspire all students to become lifelong learners and productive, responsible citizens.

Vision Statement


The Alternative Education Programs provide seamless transitions through a variety of programs designed to support the success of all students.

- All students meet high standards (State, District, Personal).
- Students are connected to their learning as they achieve academic goals.
- All stakeholders want to come to school because they take pride in their learning and teaching.
- Students and community eagerly interact and reciprocate for mutual benefit.
- Students can access a variety of flexible programs to meet their changing needs.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017—18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 10	1
Grade 11	1
Grade 12	2
Total Enrollment	4



Last updated: 1/7/2019

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2017—18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	25.0 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	%
Asian	%
Filipino	%
Hispanic or Latino	50.0 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	%
White	25.0 %
Two or More Races	%
Other	0.0 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	75.0 %
English Learners	%
Students with Disabilities	50.0 %
Foster Youth	%

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School 2016—17	School 2017—18	School 2018—19	District 2018—19
With Full Credential	2	2	2	289
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0



Last updated: 1/7/2019

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016—17	2017—18	2018—19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018—19)

Year and month in which the data were collected: January 2019

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2017	Yes	0.0 %
Mathematics	McDougal Littell 2004; Pearson Prentice Hall, 2015; South-Western/Cengage Learning 2011	Yes	0.0 %
Science	Addison Wesley Prentice Hall 2007, McDougal Littell 2007, Pearson/Prentice Hall 2007, Prentice Hall 2007, Thompson 2007	No	0.0 %
History-Social Science	Prentice Hall 2014, Prentice Hall 2006, Prentice Hall 2007, McGraw Hill 2016, Glencoe 2002	No	0.0 %
Foreign Language	EMC Paradigm 2006, Holt, Rinehart & Winston 2007, Oxford University 2004, Wadsworth Publishing 2006	No	0.0 %
Health	Glencoe/McGraw Hill 2006	No	0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	Glencoe/McGraw Hill 2005, McGraw Hill Higher Education 2009, Wadsworth Publishing 2009, Norton & Company 2009	No	0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0.0 %

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/22/2019

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Travis Community Day School's campus was originally built in 2003 and contains two classrooms and an outdoor area. At the time of publication, 100% of all restrooms were in working order. Facilities information is current as of December 2016.

The table shows the results of the most recent school facilities inspection. While reviewing this report, please note that even minor discrepancies are reported in the inspection process. There are no major deficiencies at this site. The items noted in the table have been corrected or are in the process of remediation.

Cleaning Process

The principal works daily with the part-time custodian to ensure that the cleaning of the school is maintained to provide for a clean and safe school. The Director of Maintenance and Operations coordinates in-service training for the custodial staff. Each classroom is cleaned daily, and outside maintenance is done on a regular basis or as needed. Currently the district has no specific policy on cleaning requirements and has adopted the CASBO Custodial Handbook and applied the cleaning standards and care of equipment for this purpose.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and emergency repairs are given the highest priority. Facilities Master Plan Policy (BP 7110) and the Environmental Safety Policy (BP 3514) guide the maintenance and repair protocols for the district.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 2018

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 2018

Overall Rating	Exemplary
----------------	-----------

Last updated: 1/8/2019

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2016—17	School 2017—18	District 2016—17	District 2017—18	State 2016—17	State 2017—18
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)			56.0%	57.0%	48.0%	50.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)			41.0%	42.0%	37.0%	38.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017—18)

CAASPP Assessment Results – English Language Arts (ELA)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three Through Eight and Grade Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	--	--	--	
Male	--	--	--	
Female	--	--	--	
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino	--	--	--	
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races	--	--	--	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017—18)

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Group, Grades Three Through Eight and Grade Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	--	--	--	
Male	--	--	--	
Female	--	--	--	
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino	--	--	--	
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races	--	--	--	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight and High School Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Html.RenderAction("SarcDescription", new { sectionID = 80, cdscode = ViewBag.Cdscode });

Subject	School 2016–17	School 2017–18	District 2016–17	District 2017–18	State 2016–17	State 2017–18
Science (grades 5, 8, and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

Career Technical Education (CTE) Programs (School Year 2017–18)

It is the goal of Travis Community Day School that students understand the importance of academic subjects and experience how employees apply subject learning to work situations. The school's programs focus on preparing students to think conceptually, communicate effectively, and apply skills in real-world contexts. Curriculum and instructional strategies foster critical thinking, problem solving, leadership, and academic skills. Students are exposed to a broad array of career opportunities, and counselors facilitate the selection of a career path based on individual interests, goals, strengths, and abilities. Travis Community Day School offers Work Experience credits.

Last updated: 1/8/2019

Career Technical Education (CTE) Participation (School Year 2017–18)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	0
Percent of Pupils Completing a CTE Program and Earning a High School Diploma	0.0%
Percent of CTE Courses Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	0.0%

Last updated: 1/23/2019

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2017–18 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0%
2016–17 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	--

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018—19)

Parents play an important role at Travis Community Day School. Parents attend school orientations, and participate on the Western Accreditation of Schools and Colleges (WASC) Committee.

Contact Information

Parents who wish to participate in Travis Community Day School leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school at (707) 437-8166.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School 2014—15	School 2015—16	District 2014—15	District 2015—16	State 2014—15	State 2015—16
Dropout Rate	--	--	1.6%	1.2%	10.7%	9.7%
Graduation Rate	--	--	96.8%	97.5%	82.3%	83.8%

Indicator	School 2016—17	District 2016—17	State 2016—17
Dropout Rate	--	1.0%	9.1%
Graduation Rate	--	96.3%	82.7%



For the formula to calculate the 2016–17 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2017–18 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2017 (One-Year Rate)

Student Group	School	District	State
All Students	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--
White	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--


State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School	School	School	District	District	District	State	State	State
Rate	2015—16	2016—17	2017—18	2015—16	2016—17	2017—18	2015—16	2016—17	2017—18
Suspensions	42.9%	15.8%	13.9%	4.6%	4.2%	3.9%	3.7%	3.7%	3.5%
Expulsions	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%



Last updated: 1/7/2019

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018—19)

The School Site Safety plan is evaluated and revised annually in the spring by the staff, students and the School Resource Officer. Key elements of the Safety Plan are the school's physical environment, social environment, cultural environment, and the Emergency Response Team. Included within the School Site Safety Plan is a disaster preparedness plan which lays out steps that are to be taken to ensure student and staff safety during a disaster. The school is in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Fire drills are held twice a year and earthquake and intruder drills are conducted annually. Students are supervised throughout the day by teachers and a campus monitor. All visitors to the school must sign in and out at the main office where they receive a name tag, which must be worn at all times while on campus.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2015—16)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
English	2.0	3		
Mathematics	2.0	2		
Science				
Social Science	4.0	3		

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2016—17)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
English	1.0	1		
Mathematics	4.0	3		
Science				
Social Science				

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2017—18)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
English	2.0	1		
Mathematics	3.0	2		
Science				
Social Science				

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017—18)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.2	10.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.1	N/A
Psychologist	0.1	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.1	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.0	N/A
Other	1.0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/11/2019

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016—17)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$40372.0	\$85.0	\$40287.0	\$77736.0
District	N/A	N/A	\$6798.0	\$70311.0
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	493.0%	10.0%
State	N/A	N/A	\$6574.0	\$76522.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	465.0%	2.0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/8/2019

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017—18)

Travis Unified receives Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) funding from the state. Part of this funding is Supplemental Grant Funding (SGF) which is used to meet the needs of low income students, English learners, foster youth, and homeless children. In addition, we receive Title I funding, which is used at the middle school to provide targeted instruction for struggling students. Title I funding is also used for professional development. We receive Title II funding for professional development, and Title III funding to support English learners. We also receive some funding for Special Education.



With LCFF, districts are required to develop, adopt, and annually update a three year Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). The LCAP and budget must demonstrate how the district is using funds to address the eight state priority areas and improve outcomes for all students as well as specific student subgroups. The eight state priority areas are student achievement, implementation of state standards, student engagement (attendance and dropout rates), school climate, parent involvement, basic services, course access, and other locally determined student outcomes.

Last updated: 1/8/2019

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016—17)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$38,940	\$47,547
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$72,551	\$74,775
Highest Teacher Salary	\$80,424	\$93,651
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$99,969	\$116,377
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$116,584	\$122,978
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$109,582	\$135,565
Superintendent Salary	\$185,170	\$222,853
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37.0%	35.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.0%	6.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.



Last updated: 1/7/2019

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2017—18)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	0	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	0	N/A
Science	0	N/A
Social Science	0	N/A
All Courses	0	0.0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Last updated: 1/7/2019

Professional Development

Staff members have a variety of opportunities for professional development. We have a full day of professional development in October, plus a variety of workshops throughout the year. Teachers received training in technology (including website development and ADA Accessibility training), English Language Arts / Math strategies, Multi-Tiered Systems of Support, and Special Education processes. Teachers worked in PLCs (teacher work teams) and curriculum development groups to develop pacing guides, align curriculum to standards, and to develop assessments. Additionally, elementary administrators and teachers attended "No Excuses University" training.

Last updated: 1/8/2019